United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	•			
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/563,043	12/30/2005	Javier Juanarena Saragueta	U 016070-3	6065
140 LADAS & PA	7590 08/06/2007 EXAMINER			
26 WEST 61ST STREET			GONZALEZ, JULI	z, JULIO C
NEW YORK, NY 10023			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2834	
	***			•
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/06/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/563,043	JUANARENA SARAGUETA ET AL.	
•	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Julio C. Gonzalez	2834	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address	
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANS IN THE MAILING DANS IN THE MAILING DANS IN THE MORE IN THE MAILING DANS IN THE MORE IN	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply b vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for cause the application to become ABANDO	ION. e timely filed rom the mailing date of this communication. DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 Ju This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.	•	
Dispositi	ion of Claims		,	
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) 1,2,6-8 and 12-26 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1,2,7,8,12-17,19,21,23,25 and 26 is/a Claim(s) 6,18,20,22 and 24 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration. re rejected.		
Applicati	on Papers			
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. ion is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a) objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachmen	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Summ	ary (PTO-413)	
2) Notic 3) Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mai 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	Date	

Application/Control Number: 10/563,043

Art Unit: 2834

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, 7, 8, 12 17, 19, 21, 23, 25, 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Feddersen (US 2005/0116476) in view of Feddersen et al (US 7,015,595).

Feddersen discloses a control system for a double-fed generator having a rotor and stator and a converter having an inverter (elements V4-V6), grid inverter (elements V3-V1), DC-link (see element C3) and a clamping unit having clamping element (see figure 3, element *crowbar*). Moreover, a resistor element R15 (see figure 1 & figure 3) is used for clamping a voltage. It is also disclosed that the resistance element can be connected in parallel (paragraph 0008) and that more than one resistor can be used (paragraph 0027) and that the clamping element is used when an over current is detected (paragraph 0019).

Although Ohm'Law discloses that the current is equal to the voltage divided by the resistance (I = V/R), thus an over voltage can be determined; however, Feddersen does not disclose explicitly having an over voltage protection/detection device.

On the other hand, Feddersen et al discloses for the purpose of providing a precise control of electrical quantities on the power grid, a generator having a rotor and stator (see figure 1), rotor inverter 151, grid inverter 154, dc-link 152 and an over voltage protector 160 (see figure 1). Moreover, there is a protection device when there is a DC over voltage condition (see figure 2).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to design a control system as disclosed by Feddersen and to modify the invention by providing an over voltage protection discloses for the purpose of providing a precise control of electrical quantities on the power grid as disclosed by Feddersen et al.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 07/11/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The remarks argued that the prior art fails to disclose a "passive voltage-dependant resistor. Feddersen disclose a resistor element, which is controlled, which can

Application/Control Number: 10/563,043

Art Unit: 2834

make it, passive. What makes a passive resistor? How is determined that the resistor in the prior art is not passive?

Respectfully, the <u>claims</u> are not specific enough to *define/describe* what makes a resistor passive? The claims only disclose using a passive resistor.

4. In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., describing a passive resistor element such as in page 9, line 23 of the present application) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 6, 18, 20, 24, 22 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Page 4

Conclusion

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Julio C. Gonzalez whose telephone number is 571-272-2024. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8AM-5PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Darren Schuberg can be reached on 571-272-2044. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/563,043 Page 6

Art Unit: 2834

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Jcg

July 31, 2007

JULIO GONZALEZ PRIMARY EXAMINER